	Case 3:08-cv-00367-BZ Document 13	Filed 04/15/2008 Page 1 of 5	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Mark Varney, No. 121129 Jonathan Yank, No. 215495 CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOU Attorneys at Law 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.989.5900 Facsimile: 415.989.0932 Email: mvarney@cbmlaw.com jyank@cbmlaw.com  Thomas L. Vitu (admitted pro hac vice) MOFFETT VITU LASCOE & PACKU Brown Street Center - Suite 340 255 East Brown Street Birmingham, MI 48009 Telephone: (248) 646-5100 Facsimile: (248) 646-5332 Email tvitu@mdaal.com  Attorneys for Defendant SUNBEAM PRODUCTS, INC.  UNITED STAT	GH LLP	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT AND GENERAL DISCOVERY PLAN NO. CV 08 0367 CW		

26

27

28

Plaintiff Fire Insurance Exchange and Defendant Sunbeam Products, Inc. ("Sunbeam") (collectively, the "Parties") jointly submit this Rule 26(f) Report and General Discovery Plan, in accordance with this Court's Orders relating to such issues.

## Conference Timing and Content (Rule 26(f)(1) and (2))

The Parties initially met and conferred in preparation for this Rule 26(f) Report in late March 2008, well prior to the April 22, 2008 Case Management Conference. The Parties have discussed the issues set forth in Rule 26(f)(2). The Parties subsequently exchanged comments on drafts of this Rule 26(f) Report and have agreed on the form of the submission contained herein.

The Parties have also agreed to make all reasonable efforts to preserve discoverable materials and information, and that no destruction of discoverable materials, including destructive testing of any evidence, shall be undertaken without either: (a) the express written agreement by the opposing party; or (b) an order of this Court.

## 2. **Discovery Plan (Rule 26(f)(3))**

## Α. Initial Disclosures (Rule 26(f)(3)(A))

The Parties have agreed to complete their respective initial disclosures, pursuant to Rule 26(a) on or before May 21, 2008.

## В. Discovery (Rule 26(f)(3)(B))

The Parties do not request any limitations on discovery at this time, nor do they see a need to conduct discovery in phases, or to limit or focus discovery to any particular issues. However, the Parties reserve the right to object to any particular discovery request based on applicable grounds in law and equity. The Parties agree that December 31, 2008, is the target for completing non-expert discovery.

Plaintiff presently anticipates that it will need discovery on the topics of (a) design of the electric blanket and its component parts including but not limited to the control system of it; (b) manufacture of the electric blanket and its component parts, including but not limited to the control system of it; (c) distribution of the electric blanket; (d) identity of the manufacturer of any component parts to the electric blanket, including

1

but not limited to the control system of it; (e) any and all warranties provided with the electrical blanket or its component parts; (f) any and all instructions provided with the electric blanket or its component parts; (g) any and all prior recalls by the Consumer Product Safety Commission of the electric blanket or its component parts, including but not limited to the control system of it, (h) any and all prior consumer complaints, claims or lawsuits presented relating to the same model of the electric blanket; (i) any and all prior claims or lawsuits presented relating to the same model of control system of the electric blanket; (j) any and all prior consumer complaints, claims or lawsuits presented relating any Sunbeam electric blanket; (k) defendant's contentions regarding the cause and origin of the fire; (1) defendant's contention that the cause of the fire was due to the negligence of plaintiff or its insured or any other person or entity; (m) the opinions of defendant's experts, the basis and methodology upon which such opinions are founded, and the qualifications of defendant's experts to render such opinions, (n) defendant's contentions regarding alleged alteration, destruction and spoliation of the fire scene and pertinent evidence, and (o) defendant's contentions that as a newly re-named company, it has no liability for the acts or omissions of its predecessor.

Defendant Sunbeam presently anticipates that it will need discovery on (a) Plaintiff's claim that an electric blanked designed, manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant Sunbeam was defective; (b) Plaintiff's assertion that the electric blanket caused a fire in the home of Plaintiff's insured; (c) various issues regarding the cause and origin of the fire; (d) the alteration, destruction, and spoliation of the fire scene and pertinent evidence; (e) Plaintiff's claim that the fire caused damage to real and personal property of Plaintiff's insured; (f) Plaintiff's allegation that it incurred compensable damages as a result of such injury to property; (g) the amount of Plaintiff's claimed damages; (h) whether Plaintiff took reasonable steps to mitigate said damages; and (i) the opinions of Plaintiff's experts, the basis and methodology upon which such opinions are founded, and the qualifications of Plaintiff's experts to render such opinions.

1

27

28

	Case 3:08-cv-00367-BZ Docum	nent 13 Filed 04/15/2008 Page 5 of 5
1	D . 1 A . 1117 2000	
1	Dated: April 15, 2008	
2		LAW OFFICES OF MOFFETT VITU LASCOE & PACKUS, P.C.
3		
4		By/ s / Thomas L. Vitu
5 6		Thomas L. Vitu Attorneys for Defendant Sunbeam Products, Inc.
7		Sunbeam Products, Inc.
8	Dated: April 15, 2008	
9		LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH W. TURNER
10		
11		By / s / Kenneth W. Turner
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff Fire Insurance Exchange
13		Fire insurance exchange
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-4-
	JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT AND GENERAL DISCOVERY PLAN  NO. CV 08 0367 CW	